



EVALUATION 2017 Please complete. You can leave this with us today, take home to complete or download online. You can then submit by email or by mail. Instructions below. Use the back of the sheet as you wish.

We would like to know how well the Forum attracted a variety of people with different ties to the Poudre. Do you work in agriculture, water resources engineering, environmental concerns, the recreation industry, or some other field involved with water? Are you an educator or researcher interested in water or a member of a water interested group? Are you a citizen concerned about water issues? Please tell us a little about yourself.

- Graduate Student & local researcher
- Local government open space
- Student, UNC masters environmental science
- UNC Graduate student, exploring topics pertinent to northern Colorado
- Long-Term resident; educator; environmental concerns
- Researcher interested in learning about activities on the Poudre
- Student, resident at UNC
- Educator from Poudre Learning Center
- Science Educator
- I work for the town of vail, am a recent graduate in watershed science from CSU, and am a Colorado outdoor enthusiast
- I am a student concerned about water issues.
- Educator / concerned citizen
- I work in the conservation field, but not directly with water.
- Teach in natural resources at CSU. Work with people in agriculture, live near the river + recreate there. Live in a city, value farms, and want the river to be ecologically healthy.
- I am a recent graduate from CSU with my Masters in Conservation Leadership. I care deeply about local water issues and hope to pursue a career where I can help SOLVE some of these pertinent issues.
- Farmer
- Water Resources / Water supply planning
- City of Greeley Water + Sewer Dept
- Landscape architect, planner, fc resident since 1965. Worked on many of projects mentioned during career @ EDAW, AECP & now Logan Simpson.
- I'm a PhD candidate in watershed science at CSU. I study water quality and am interested in similar work in agriculture and improving water quality, and animal treatment
- Open lands conservation
- Jay Merrill

- Professor at CSU teaches and researches aspects of sustainability
- Governmental land holder along the Poudre
- I'm a teacher at fort collins high school. I was brought here today by Mike of caring for our watersheds
- I am an educator in Fort Collins. I was invited here by the Poudre Learning Center. They have been great!
- Agriculture, county agriculture advisory bd.
- I am an environmental educator employed by the city of Fort Collins Utilities to specialize in water + water conservation education.
- Water resource engineering
- Member of Friends of the Water Works, working on the establishment of a water interpretive museum.
- Citizen concerned about water issues.
- Citizen activist concerned about land use and water policy
- I'm a long time environmental activist in a personal light but have worked as a consultant who obtains funding & who manages water + sewage construction projects for small municipalities. My education is in city + land use planning.
- Concerned student citizen at UNC
- Educator in science + environment and concerned grandparent.
- Educator
- I am a municipal land use planner - manage land use policy.
- I volunteer at the PLC and am very concerned about water uses in Colorado
- BS & MA - stream ecologist & impact analysis consultant
- I am a forest hydrologist (focus in research + outreach)
- Work in forestry - watershed protection. Citizen in Ft. Collins.
- I'm a retired educator currently involved in recreation component of life related to water.
- An env. scientist with emphasis on water + stormwater issues. A new resident of Ft Collins + a volunteer for the City Natural Areas, wanted to learn more about the Poudre.
- I work at CSU for a center that runs training courses with professionals working in natural protected areas. We spend a lot of time talking about private and public land along the Poudre, and wanted to better understand current initiatives & issues.
- Municipal Engineer, just wanted to get more background knowledge on water.
- Educator - concerned about water issues.
- Citizen interested in water.
- Old; active water policy person.
- UNC Environmental Sustainability Student, involved w/ Wise Awes farm, generally interested in environmental concerns/water.
- Concerned citizen, taxpayer, Director or water related non-profit
- Staff Attorney Colorado Water Trust.
- Member of interest group, natural resource professional, educator.
- Colorado State Forest Service - Environmental (Forest/Land Management)
- I work as an engineer doing review of development projects in City of Greeley
- Retired Scientist & concerned/interested citizen. Nice job
- Private Land Conservation

- High school science teacher in Fort Collins CO.
- Real Estate - Citizen interested in water issues. Recreation on the Poudre is very important to me.
- Environmental project manager and water quality manager of a state agency.
- Citizen concerned with water issues.
- YES, YES, and YES!! I have been and still am with CCWCD on the board since 1974, been a member of CCWCD since 1973
- In an industry (as I guess all are) that is water dependent. Also grew up on the Poudre and hiking the South Fork, so I have a deep love for the river!
- Citizen concerned about water issues.
- Private citizen, concerned about water usage.

How did you hear about the Forum? Check all that apply:

Poster – 6

KUNC radio – 7

Barn Media radio –

Tribune – 4

Coloradoan – 3

Inside Greeley video – 2

Other:

- E-mail list - 26
 - CSU - 9
 - Web ad in email
 - Poudre P Forum - 2
 - Fort Collins Natural Areas
 - Facebook
 - UNC Department of Earth and Atmospheric Science
 - CWL emails
 - PRTI - 3
- Attendance other years - 6
- Professor - 2
- PLC - 4
- City Staff
- William Hoyt – UNC
- Caring for watershed - 2
- Committee rep
- Internal advertising
- MaryLou Smith - 2
- Sponsor
- Caring for our watershed, poudre learning center
- Dr. Mark Eiswerth – 2
- Professional Associations.
- CSU water center
- Newsletter

- Co-worker
- Thru Rec rept.
- Word of mouth - 2
- City of Greeley
- Osher course.
- Everitt Real Estate Center (CSU)
- CCWCD thru Kathy Parker

Was the registration fee about right for value received?

Yes – 63

Okay – 8

- Add \$10 for morning breakfast snacks
- Little high
- I was so grateful for the opportunity to pay less than full price - I would not have been able to come other-wise.

No –

- Too high

Did you like having a choice of full price or sponsor-subsidized discount rate?

Yes – 50

? – 2

Didn't notice the choice

N/A - 4

Food okay?

Yes – 59

- I was impressed with the connection to Greeley School District! Well done!
- I like that the school district provided the food and that they received a plug.
- Other than the obvious running out - thanks for getting more (and also for touting Greeley schools' program!)
- After replenishment!
- Heard many good comments about gluten free and vege options
-

Okay – 3

Ran out – 15

- More vegetarian sandwiches – especially to make sure they don't run out
- Individual lunch/choice when signing up for conference
- Not enough protein for vegans
- Thank you for having gluten free - 2
- More snacks
- Need donuts

Venue okay?

Yes – 67

- much warmer, enjoyed carpet
- MCU better than the Ranch more money
- Great! I felt very welcome and enjoyed the Greeley intro.
- Okay, but would be better at the Ranch @ Centerra
- I liked the cozier setting, but there were some things that could have been improved

No –

- Needed more room – 7
- Cold
- Odd-shaped room
- Far from Fort Collins
- Now that you've been to Greeley, the next one should be in Fort Collins.
- Somewhat hard to see them from the sides.

Does Friday work well or would you prefer Saturday in the future?

Friday – 63

Saturday – 6

Both – 10

Weekday! – 3

Was the length of today's Forum about right?

Yes – 65

- Just a single additional break would make it perfect.
- The program was very well designed. The day built on itself, connecting upstream to downstream and each of us to the other.

Okay – 4

- A bit too long possible. Still enjoyed.

No –

- I only came to the afternoon b/c I had class
- More breaks
- Too much planned for time limit of forum.
- A little long
- Maybe slightly shorter
- I have to leave for livestock

What did you really like about today?

- Inclusion of agricultural interests; the diversity of individuals involved in today's discussions
- I learned so much about the Poudre system. Really liked Brian Richter's presentation & perspective and his encouragement for the locals work
- The very apparent communication and collaboration of those involved in water in N. Colo
- Really good speakers w/ interesting information
- Good presentations
- Learning from diverse groups

- I liked the diversity of presentations
- The booths & the literature included
- Good speakers
- The young girls that spoke this morning! The historical talks. The discussion at the end.
- Eric Reckentine – he really had an honest, encompassing, and informed point of view.
- Great presentations: Richter's talk, Jen Shanahan, Laurie Huckaby, John Sanderson
- The information
- Table conversations
- Learned a lot! Clicker Q's were a great idea.
- I like the keynote speaker & also the clicker questions created participation & engagement.
- Very good range of speakers.
- The diverse perspectives, engaging speakers, time to engage w/ other forum participants.
- I loved the discussions and diversity of interests present.
- Very good fact speakers
- Great coordinating, facilitators, like the powerpoint slides
- I liked the focus on addressing multiple points of view & encouraging collaboration
- Harold Evan's presentation, Bran Richter's presentation.
- Hearing the different perspectives & what Greeley is doing to innovate & conserve water.
- Diverse interests / great speakers!
- Variety of viewpoints, city programs
- Discussion and TNC speaker
- Discussion and clickers. Love the dialogue
- New cases. Opportunities to hear from people I rarely hear
- Friendly, welcoming, laid back
- Variety of perspectives. Lots of great information
- Diverse opinions and speakers
- Laurie Huckaby + Brian Richter were great speakers + John Sanderson + Martin Carcasson
- Presenters well chosen, good keynote-broadcast
- Loved the diverse perspectives + especially Jen Shanahan, Laurie Huckaby, Brian Richter + my most favorite, Martin Carcasson - both his talk + his activity. Also loved the clickers!
- Keynote + tables discussion
- All of it.
- I thought the talks were good + the point of view points, I liked Laurie talk + the communication talks the best for me.
- Clickers, CPD discussion, actually everything
- Better balance of agriculture, muni + environmental perspectives than the first 2 years which I also attended.
- Not being a CO native this gave me very good perspectives and background to the Poudre as well as the problems/solutions for the Poudre.
- The varied + yet balanced presentations

- D6 Food - good community support. The onions.
- Diversity of ideas in presentations + questions
- Good issues.
- The interdisciplinary nature of the talks. Brian Richter & Eric Reckentine talks were my favorites.
- The diversity of speakers mixed with opportunities to visit booths/talk/network. The clickers were great!! What an easy and fun way to engage everyone real time.
- Varied point of views, communication / dialogue between parties of different opinions, stakeholders of varying backgrounds. Hearing various viewpoints in an environment where all share a common value - the river. Loved the clickers!
- Speakers expertise was evident.
- Great speakers. Good overview of topics affecting the river today.
- I liked the mix of presentations, audience participation with clickers, and Q/A, and small group discussions.
- The presentations explaining why policy change on watershed conservation is important for all aspects of a watershed.
- Knowledgeable speakers.
- All good speakers.
- Good panels; keynote a bit disappointing - interesting & good speakers but not a new view.
- I liked the clicker approach & time to talk with our tables. Martin Carcasson was awesome.
- It gave me hope that there are so many volunteer efforts in the community and citizens that care.
- Broad background of speakers i.e. agriculture and municipalities.
- Emphasis on forest management; adapting through/for change... Also really liked Martin Carcasson's talk.
- Best panels yet. Great keynote. Fun diversions w/ students, video, and communications guy.
- Variety of speakers/subjects. Use of technology - clickers.
- Environmental/forestry focus + big picture coverage of river system. Liked the interactive, digital "Response Card" surveys we did live. Great speaking panels/experts and great keynote speaker.
- Varied discussions.
- The various viewpoints offered and that it seems we have a fine amount of common ground.
- Good speakers & good message. Strong effort for collaboration. Nice job!
- Keynote speaker + variety of info
- Greeley history.
- Like the connection between high country + plains.
- The variety of presentations that showed the impacts (both negative and positive) on the Poudre historically, currently, and potentially in the future.
- Seeing the different viewpoints of Fort Collins and Greeley users/officials (Larimer/Weld)

- I particularly liked the increase in data-focused presentations over past Forums' excessive use of panel discussions. Data and trends for upper Poudre water quality monitoring would have been interesting.
- PERSPECTIVES: Pro and Con: It is good to rationalize both! Sharing is learning, learning compels ideas, ideas create understanding, understanding creates life!!
- Great speakers/panels.
- Adapting through and for change on the Poudre. Jen Shanahan's talk, loved Brian Richter who was not afraid to say "sacred" where water is concerned. John Sanderson's strength of character was amazing.
- Keynote speaker was good.
- Diversity of perspectives. Brian Richter's big picture perspective - we need to think big.
- Well balanced.
- The keynote, the relatively fun atmosphere (e.g., the onions).
- Poudre "Splashes" is a good idea, reminiscent of awards even if we don't call them that. Maybe could be improved, but have no specific suggestions.
- Best presentation by Carcasson I have seen to date, though a few of his slides seemed extraneous for our purposes
- Beer was good, head and all ☺
- Good to have the experience of holding the Forum in Greeley
- Excellent turnout, though some (UNC?) students did not seem engaged
- Excellent sponsorships

Did anything disappoint you? Was anything missing?

No – 5

- A lot of intro/background to the presentations was similar
- Field trip to look at the river
- Seating was uncomfortable
- Including affiliation on name badge would be helpful
- Would be nice to have snacks in the morning
- Running out of food – but I understand why and appreciate that they brought in more
- No afternoon breaks
- Affiliation/location on nametags
- More in-depth presentations maybe. There was a slight redundancy.
- Too much repetitive history; several speakers addressed the topic
- The lack of cultural diversity in the crowd was disappointing.
- Waste water treatment was not addressed, why?
- More Q/A would be nice – I think the audience / my table was most engaged during these discussions
- Ran out of food – but all of us lived – so don't sweat it!
- Need recycling.
- Would have been nice to have facilitation for the group conversations @ the end.

- My big question: What's the end result? ie: after all the trans-basin imports, new storage, etc. Also, alternatives to big infrastructure project, are there any? And how do they work?
- There could have been more conversation @ tables & opportunities to mingle more between tables, but I understand this is tough for a 1 day conference.
- I'm a student so I only got to go to the afternoon part of the forum.
- It will be disappointing if you go back to the Ranch it is so hard to hear over there + cold
- No discussion on water use and quality by livestock production. Emphasis on livestock growth
- Opportunities to showcase new processes and demonstrate feedback and coaching
- Recreation
- Snapshots video was too loud. Maybe this is something that can be shown during lunch instead of postponing lunch for it.
- Water issues are so complex, there are always things missing
- I would have loved less technical presentations with more stories and interactive components, but then, i'm an educator. :)
- Much better than last year!
- Longer Q+A for speakers
- Discussion + definition of ecosystem services. Land use development of population growth should be included. How we develop in terms of density + land use will largely determine much of the gpd water use of new & growing population.
- Not really except food ran out.
- Need more snacks. Not enough space.
- Too many presenters think the lower river ends in Ft. Fun
- It may be nice to include each person's affiliation on their name tag (for visual aid in remembering + networking.
- More panel time of contentious issues would be good (NISP)
- I heard there wasn't enough food @ lunch :(
- Food should remain available throughout entire lunch time.
- Maybe more opportunities for small group conversation or facilitated conversations that can lead to ideas for moving forward.
- Food choices.
- Hope to hear from City of Thornton about their plans for CLP.
- Need more on Aq loss when muni water up.
- No, it was great. More questions would have been useful.
- Need more long range planning i.e. policy
- Keynote could have mentioned population growth... Water is not "going away" unless you consider injection wells associated with energy production. There's actually more water in.
- More food!
- Believe we are missing elephant in the room. NISP
- Lots of separate entities working together is great, communication among entities seems excellent, is there an overarching grand model for all parties in the Poudre WS?

- Chairs with better padding would be nice. It was a bit hard to see all the presenters - but at the same time it was good to have them in the same room.
- Too much Greeley vs Fort Collins. I'm tired of this one-up mindset.
- I thought this year's Forum got it about right and a big improvement over last year.
- Collaborative discussion and time to interact.
- Would like to have some more agriculture reps or next year I'll ask around before sitting down - would like their perspective.
- More discussions of water sharing between agriculture and municipal and or environmental/recreational use.
- Not enough time for questions and discussion.
- The Greeley welcomers, Norton and MacQuiddy. Surely one would have been enough.
- Not sure that the NISP relatively new what-if alternative really qualifies as a "Splash" in that it is not a true action, just a hypothetical. Do we give credit for someone saying something?
- Too bad the afternoon session panelists (and moderator?) ran over time. More Q&A would have been good.

Please share your ideas for improvement and/or your ideas for next year's Poudre River Forum, including potential presenters and topics.

- Maybe some research proj results?
- Perhaps a larger and longer poster session
- Maybe more government speakers to elaborate on policy
- More specifics about plans for all the data being collected
- WW Treatment process- Call Eddie Trevino in Greeley
- I would like to see more representation from consulting firms, and regulatory/compliance specialists.
- More opportunity for breaks
- Get recycling.
- Spread out some of the door prizes throughout the day.
- Would have liked more conversation, esp. with people with different opinions.
- More representation from the local food movement – small scale farmers focused on local food security.
- I think putting booths in hallway would be better and area where food was and put booths put food in different spot.
- Great topics! I'd love to see a presentation on instream flow rights (CWCB or other), if haven't had one already, or conservation easements.
- Talk about conservation methods for extreme conservation like Grey Water reuse * maybe education people why extreme conservation might injure people downstream or what the objections to extreme conservation are. Can we talk about that?
- Will think about this. Probably land use – Logan Simpson embarking on Larimer County comprehensive Plan in 2017 - will have content for 2018.

- Model new processes. Have participants to practice at their tables with feedback and coaching
- I enjoyed the short time we had to talk with tablemates
- Present the potential sequence of development along the Poudre. Ex: Glade etc. - a timeline.
- I'd like to hear Gary Wockner discuss the health of the poudre.
- Poudre & its tributaries & diversions - lower watershed system, canals, reservoirs, wetlands. How return flows & groundwater recharge affect the river & limits of efficiency.
- See above and I would love to hear more from Martin Carcasson about how to solve water problems, or another speaker on the same topic - I think this is really what those of us working on the Poudre need - more strategies for how to overcome differences + collaborate.
- Costs of water (for Urban, ag, etc). CBT shares, water rates, tap fees.
- Topics - Growth, water suppliers versus water policy and limitations to water use/growth
- Land use, density & and how we can have "sustainable" population growth & growth options to use less water. Presentation on urban & ag water sharing projects currently in operation in Colorado & other water states.
- I'm not sure if the Poudre is used for electricity generation. If it is that would be an interesting topic to discuss. Also the concept of virtual water would be interesting. How far does the Poudre River water travel?
- I liked the polling. More interactiveness. Chocolate giveaway.
- Greeley's internal working group, the Poudre Initiative Committee, and its 2017 Poudre Master Study.
- Add a poster session.
- How much H2O is available for a health river? Is it enough for a healthy river.
- Next year, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act turns 50! I think it is really special we have the Upper Poudre River designated as wild + scenic.
- Results of State of River Project.
- Upper watershed - forestry - Laurie Huckaby was very interesting.
- See previous answer. Mixing up delivery + include more breakout sessions might engage people more actively.
- Storage Issues.
- Need more table interchange.
- More space for table presentations.
- Breakdown of annual water usage in Greeley and surrounding communities by ag, municipal, industrial, personal.
- Linking land use planning & water supply; Drew Beckwith. Developers?
- Next year we'll be ready to talk flows.
- More about what groups are doing - success stories. How can we make a difference?
- Please keep diverse range of topics.
- Maybe a little more high level information on water law would be beneficial.
- A bit more room and time for posters and displays might be good.
- Will the demographics of the audience be posted or summarized?

- More opportunity for questions. Key limitations on sharing water could be; identifying how to share the river, and how to build TRUST in sharing.
- Would love to see more of the audience voting interaction. Very interesting!
- Recycling?
- Possibly just an hour or two shorter. Great information, awesome people!
- Jill Opreza mentioned the monitoring occurring in the upper Poudre, but data and trends could be topic for next year.
- Water sustainability in agriculture. Must be refuted by agriculture. Water trading needs to be discussed by those in the business.
- Possibly Audubon Soc. rep ie Co River Basin/effects downstream in many other states- are they also our tribe? To mix up people who arrive together often sit together.
- My first one ,I will share later if something comes up for one.
- Imagine we have run out of additional water to develop. What are we going to do?

Anyone you recommend we contact to consider being a sponsor next year?

- UNC environmental dept
- West Greeley Conservation District? I am surprised they aren't here.
- NRCE
- Colorado Water Conservation Board
- CSU departments
- Silver Range Farms, Soldier Farms (our farm)
- Otak Inc (Boulder office)
- Someone to speak about water capture/new CO law on catching water.
- Maybe more voices from the agriculture community.
- CCWCD

Other comments:

- Thanks for doing this, it is always stimulating and informative. Recycling & compostables in the future would be great!
- More time for questions & audience discussion was surely needed – otherwise we are only listening passively. I think speakers should be cut shorter for more discussion.
- Thanks!
- Thanks so much for putting this together!
- Thanks for having this!!!
- Thank you for all of your hard work!
- Great job
- Thanks to MaryLou and Ms. Kimmel, she covered anything that needed to be stated.
- Would suggest selecting 10 vs 15 Poudre splashes.
- Clicker polls worked well. Use cards at the tables to encourage table conversations. Push everyone to note changes they will initiate in their lives.
- Thank you, very nice job
- Thank you Poudre Learning Center
- Well organized, well run, good keynote
- Thank you!

- Announce raffle prizes throughout the day
- I really like the traveling down river to Greeley - moving locations really helps everyone feel like they have something to share. (I am from Fort Collins). Thank you for making this possible!! What an incredible group of people who came today!
- My first forum - really got a lot out of it! Thanks!
- Thanks for putting this together.
- Martin's info was very helpful + table discussion.
- Great turnout! Excellent program.
- Great job!
- Better than last year!
- Glad I came to this. Great job!! Top-notch speakers. Oh, more coffee! Neat mix of people, wow, Martin's final talk was perfect! More onions! More hats! More cowbells!
- Too many onions!
- All speakers were very good!
- Fantastic event! Will be back next year!
- Great Event!
- We have 5 million people moving into Northern Colorado by 2040! We have to make our own road to follow. How do we do that with contingencies in the law?
 - We have one of the largest underground regenerative aquifers. We need to utilize it.
 - Keep up the good work! Thanks!