

## The Poudre Runs Through It Study/Action Work Group

March 9, 2018

### ACTIONS TAKEN SHOWN IN RED

- 7:30—8:00            **Breakfast**  
Dialogue with others
- 8:00—8:30            **Setting the Stage for the Day and Looking Forward—Reagan**
- Welcome Jennifer Petrzelka—City of Greeley!
  - Thanks to Larimer County for today’s meeting space
  - Question: Meeting Account on Website—Redlined agenda only at this point, still needs approval?
  - Base Funding Update  
*We agreed to draw from our “reserves” to cover this year’s base funding needs. We have \$14,150 committed from members and their organizations, but we need \$20,000. The difference will come from the \$10,904 remaining from last year, most of which came from the generous gift of Matt Robenault’s naming us as the recipient of his \$10K from the Bohemian Foundation for his grant committee work.*
- 8:30—9:00            **What Is Happening on the Poudre? Participant Round Robin Update**
- 9:00—9:20            **Initiatives and Other Actions Reports**
- Poudre River Forum
  - FLOWS Initiative
  - Diversion Improvements
  - Transient Flows Initiative
  - Educating the Masses Work Group
- 9:20—9:45            **Guiding Direction/Document/Action Team Report and Discussion**
- Proposed Action Team Role  
From January session: The group wants to keep our basic framework, but encourage the generation of ideas/support for action by forming an “action” subcommittee. Those PRTI members currently engaged in the “guiding direction/guiding document” committee will meet to discuss how such an action committee might operate and what its relationship to the PRTI steering committee might be.

- **Adopt Consensus Definition?**  
From January session: The group discussed a proposed definition of consensus and agreed to weigh in on it via email before the next meeting, at which time we will determine whether to adopt it: *“Our prime means of moving forward on anything will be by consensus. We define consensus as having the firm backing of most and at least agreement to not oppose by the others. If one or two stand in the way of consensus, we will work to adjust our plans to move forward by incorporating their ideas. However, we will allow something to go forward without their backing if the majority of the group feels strongly that we should move forward.”*  
Group members made further suggestions. A revised version will be presented for approval at our May session.

9:45—10:00

**Break**

10:00—11:30

**A Dialogue about Storage**

Facilitated by MaryLou and Reagan

11:30

**Closing and Adjourn—Reagan**