



EVALUATION 2016 Please complete. You can leave this with us today, take home to complete *or* download online. You can then submit by email *or* by mail. Instructions below. Use the back of the sheet as you wish.

We would like to know how well the Forum attracted a variety of people with different ties to the Poudre. Do you work in agriculture, water resources engineering, environmental concerns, the recreation industry, or some another field involved with water? Are you an educator or researcher interested in water or a member of a water interested group? Are you a citizen concerned about water issues? Please tell us a little about yourself.

- *From my observations, we certainly got a more diverse group of attendees. At my table was someone from the Chamber of Commerce and CSURF, neither of which I recall before. I will be interested in seeing the “data” on this.*
- *PhD. in Aquatic Biology. Taught a course on “Water Issues in the West.” 30-year career in water quality, fish habitat and water availability.*
- *I am a retired science teacher, now involved with the Poudre Learning Center.*
- *Educator. I work in conflict areas, locally and in the world. Finding constructive ways forward is essential.*
- *Environmental Educator.*
- *Retired Forester. Concerned about management of forested lands. Volunteer with Poudre Landmarks Foundation and Friends of Water Works.*
- *I work in community outreach at Odell Brewery Co. We are stakeholders in the health of the Poudre and care about the watershed as our home where we live and recreate.*
- *Water Utilities – Water Conservation.*
- *I am interested in new ideas for water management in Colorado.*
- *Industry-brewing + concerned citizen.*
- *I work with a state agency that has environmental stewardship responsibilities.*
- *Land Management/Forest Management.*
- *Paralegal student interested in water law.*
- *Heard from a coworker who attended last year. I am a water attorney who lives in Ft. C.*
- *I am in agriculture and concerned about losing my water rights in the NISP project. We lost 1100 AF of pumping rights in 2005.*
- *I recently graduated from CSU and would consider myself an environmentalist. The nice thing about these conferences is it always attracts diverse crowds of ag, environ, educators, researchers, etc. It was nice to see the local FoCo farmers here too!*
- *Retired researcher beginning to volunteer.*
- *Graduate Student @ Colorado State University – Conservation Leadership.*
- *Colorado State University Student interested in protected and private land management. Love learning about agriculture and community/regional issues.*
- *Independent contractor offering GPS/GIS services, CO native, performed GIS work for the South Platte BIP, passion for CO water. I commuted from Littleton to attend PRF.*
- *I’m a FC citizen that wanted to get educated on water issues. No involvement with water groups. But I’ve grown up here with the Poudre River always there and I recognize that water is a part of every area of my life. I want future generations to prosper and enjoy the water.*
- *I’m a 5th generation native, born and raised up the Poudre Canyon on the River. I am a retired educator, I have a love for the river, FC, and our community.*
- *I work as a volunteer in an environmental education program. Also have general interest in environmental issues.*
- *Fairly new resident of FC.*
- *Greeley Water Board member.*
- *City of Fort Collins Planning Dept. I’ll be reporting back to current and advanced planning staff. We coordinate with Water & Energy Efficiency teams, Natural Areas, and trying to promote Urban Ag.*

- *Water engineer with City Utility.*
- *Water Attorney.*
- *Spend a lot of time in Poudre River Corridor/Watershed, from top to bottom.*
- *Municipal Representative, interested/responsible for now potable distribution, recreation, park, and management.*
- *Sociology master's student at CSU. Studying the experiences of women in Ag. Also interested in conservation.*
- *City Utilities.*
- *Water Resources engineer, work for Loveland, but grew up in Poudre Basin on a family farm. Interested in helping interested citizens become involved in the water discussion.*
- *I am both an agriculturalist and recreationalist. I am most definitely concerned about water issues.*
- *Grew up playing in the South Fork of the CL Poudre and now brew beer out of it (we own Horse and Dragon Brewing in FoCo.)*
- *Citizen concerned about water issues, and interested in the history of the Poudre and how the water has been used.*
- *Retired educator, mostly on the policy side with the National Education Assoc. Currently researcher interested in water. Wannabe president of the Reagan Waskom and CO H2O Institute. Founder and president of the Legacy Leadership Center, LLC and raconteur with Panache.*
- *I manage the Poudre River Trail in the town of Windsor, Weld County, and Greeley to Island Grove Park. The trail follows the river.*
- *Municipal Supply.*
- *Colorado Water Trust.*
- *Water resources engineering.*
- *FC Water Board.*
- *Student with a passion in water conservation and restoration.*
- *Stakeholder and board of North Poudre Irrigation, board of East Larimer Water Steering Committee Soldier Canyon Treatment (Tri Districts). Retired manufacturing company, agricultural engineer retired part time farmer.*
- *I am a water and land manager for a municipality.*
- *College student and work for the City of Fort Collins Natural Areas Dept.*
- *I coordinate a conservation graduate program at CSU. I have also worked in water conservation before.*
- *I am on the Windsor town board and we are very concerned.*
- *I am a young professional passionate about the Poudre River who is interested in facilitation of collaboration for successful conservation.*
- *Natural areas and forestry management.*
- *Natural resource manager, water history and heritage.*
- *Water Attorney.*
- *Farmer under NPIC, Rent water for irrigation from FC, Tri District entities, associated with NPIC, underground water users.*
- *Student at CSU studying environmental sociology and am very interested in water in the community and collaboration.*
- *I am someone who has environmental concerns and to stay informed about issues in our community.*
- *Recreational user and interested in the use and development of water resources.*
- *Member of a non-profit interested in water (Nature Conservancy).*
- *Attorney and mediator with a special interest in water.*
- *I am a graduate student in the conservation leadership through learning program at CSU. I am originally from Northern Colorado and have strong interest in local food systems and water issues.*
- *Consultant in water resources who works in water in CO and other western states.*
- *Urban Planner.*
- *Citizen concerned about understanding water issues and stakeholders. Studying H2O issues with league of women voters and Front Range forum classes.*
- *Wildlife scientist and ag operator.*
- *Retired biologist from Louisiana where the problem is usually too much water. Interested in finding out all about water/environment/politics/agr.*

- *Water Resources engineer.*

How did you hear about the Forum?

- *Email – 19*
- *Work - 5*
- *Came before – 9*
- *CSU – 6 (Hannah Love, MaryLou Smith, CLTL)*
- **OTHER**
 - *League of women voters*
 - *Through the PLC*
 - *Gailmarie Kimmel, KUNC*
 - *Learned first through Poudre Landmarks Foundation.*
 - *Was reached out to for a donation.*
 - *Jeni Arndt*
 - *Organizers*
 - *Gailmarie, PVFC*
 - *Gailmarie*
 - *Steve Malers- OWF*
 - *Kevin Duggan’s column*
 - *Friend*
 - *From forum member*
 - *Member of PRTI – KUNC*
 - *Hannah Love*
 - *My dad*
 - *Irrigation and Water District*
 - *On Parks and Recreation Board – Windsor*
 - *COSA*
 - *Sponsoring Org.*
 - *Website*
 - *John Stokes*
 - *PRTI*

Was the registration fee about right for value received?

- *Yes - 41*
- *Maybe, expected more information*
- *Think so*
- *No - 5*

Did you like having a choice of full price or sponsor-subsidized discount rate?

- *Yes -36 (not many people answered this one)*
- *Was not offered*
- *Not sure*
- *No -1*

Food okay?

- *Yes - 63*
- *Don’t like sandwiches*
- *There were no donuts or pastries in the early morning. Sandwiches need more spread. Lunch was good.*
- *Just ok*
- *Yes, but sandwiches were not very tasty*
- *Okay*

Venue okay?

- Yes - 53
- *Seemed to work pretty well. Sound was not good early on toward the front, but got better. We need to coach speakers on how to get the most from their microphones.*
- *Tech troubles - 5*
- *Cold - 6*
- *is this actually in the watershed?*
- *Okay -1*
- *Breathing issues, hard to get in form parking lot*

Does Friday work well or would you prefer Saturday in future?

- *Friday -56*
- *Saturday – 3*
- *Both - 7*

Was the length of today's Forum about right?

- *Yes. – 51*
- *No (too long) – 4*
- *?*
- *Would want more/shorter panels - 4*
- *I did feel that the Ag panel could have used a break – even though they had more questions than they could handle.*
- *AM Panel dragged on*
- *First panel session long and redundant*
- *Second panel too long*
- *Keep it done by 4-5PM*
- *More breaks*

What did you really like about today?

- *Really pleased with the number and variety of questions from the audience.*
- *Reagan did a fine job despite obstacles.*
- *Colorful booths, but did the booth holders get what they wanted out of the day?*
- *Nice job Gailmarie! I though most everything flowed smoothly. I was especially impressed by the level of sponsorship and the attractiveness of the printed program.*
- *Networking, afternoon discussion*
- *Great view of front range, beautiful sky*
- *Good use of cards for questions, limit oral questions!*
- *The beginning of topic discussed on sustainability*
- *Hearing about how to solve complicated issues with multiple factors involved*
- *Case studies in the afternoon program. Concrete examples.*
- *Examples of success*
- *Love the longer lunch w/time for networking*
- *Seeing the various booths*
- *Hearing how producers/users of water think*
- *Afternoon session was good about collaboration but it got too long. I think a lot of people fell asleep*
- *The diverse group of folks was nice*
- *Learning new perspectives + meeting new people*
- *The very idea of having a MC vs. paper reporter involved in a complicated subject, not just a soundbite guy*
- *Ag perspective, lessons in negotiation*
- *Reagan's MCing*
- *Quality of the speakers*
- *Looking forward to Blue Gamma and Odell's bar*
- *Panel discussions*
- *The variety of people convened with a common interest*

- Focus on agriculture and ways ag is consuming water
- Focus on agriculture as value
- Great afternoon panel – appreciate the substantive discussion
- The Ag forum this morning
- The opportunity to meet those who are equally passionate about these issues.
- The NPR moderator – very engaging
- The involvement of local Ag as well as big Ag.
- Great range in people in first panel – loved everyone from the food pyramid
- Panels were very impressive. Great moderators. Good mix of questions from moderators and audience
- I liked the panel style presentations with a Keynote speaker. For me it was a more engaging style of presentation.
- The talk about collaborative/cooperative methods of Ag + water + water projects
- Last panel: very good ideas, different points of view, discussion of process. This talk recharged me.
- Talks about the future of agriculture and water
- The diversity of stakeholders that attend and the events well organized
- Education friendly vendors
- Great panels, good information
- It is about communication, coming together, a focus on resolution, and the future of the river and all entities who have an interest. We do live in an amazing community!
- Excellent panels and speakers
- Much valuable information and insights
- A great opportunity to meet others w/ similar interests
- People offered positive approaches to problem solving
- Enjoyed emphasis on agricultural community/issues
- Better ag + municipality/development/environment/recreational interests
- Getting a broader perspective on issues
- I really liked the focus on Ag – I think this voice can often be left out
- Good chance to hear from variety of people
- Important to regularly touch base on the important issues
- Good representation and dialogue, sessions seemed a bit long to me
- I liked the panel of ag professionals, and of course the social hour
- Panel/slides from speakers
- How transferable the information was. Conflict negotiation and collaboration
- The focus on agriculture and the booths
- The ag panel was fantastic for me – good to put faces and brains to the stats of 50% of water use
- The ag panel was really interesting
- Everything, but especially seeing old friends, meeting new people, keynote speakers, panels, and videos
- Discussion of Ag vs. municipal (and commercial) competition
- Good topics, panels, and participants
- Ag panel. Really good to learn more about those water users!
- The first panel was more interesting
- 1st session-Ag issues
- The panels had a great selection and diverse range of people. A lot of different points of views. It was a very unbiased discussion
- Panel input
- I prefer panel discussions to individual presentations. Wonderful discussion panels!
- The approach was nice, all voices were given opportunity to be there
- Great panel discussions and perspectives about water in ag
- Adding in videos was a great idea
- The lessons in collaboration were also great to hear
- I enjoyed the morning session, but the afternoon session was enjoyable. Mr. O'Toole was great
- I loved the discussion on collaboration this afternoon. Great in depth conversation, great moderators w/ engaging questions
- Both panels were excellent resources
- Digging deeper
- The agriculture forum and keynote
- The 2nd panel of experienced negotiators was very interesting
- I loved the variability of groups involved. It really opened up the opportunity for good conversation
- That so many people showed up to such an important discussion and all the work that went into this event.
- The history and experience and knowledge on afternoon panel was great
- Also appreciate the chance to re-connect with many people concerned about water and the Poudre
- I really liked the focus on collaboration
- I liked the variety and diversity of speakers, focusing on Ag in the morning and collaborative water projects in the

afternoon

- *The guests and speakers and the headline topics*
- *Contents: Learned a lot from various interests, especially farmers and ranchers*
- *Process: Liked discussion about real-life experience in people who came together to negotiate solutions*
- *Meeting like-minded and different-minded people and hearing about possibilities – NOT litigation*
- *Focus on Ag*
- *Great panels. Keynote speaker was excellent. We are so focused on water for future growth, but also need to think about feeding them.*
- *Excellent work*
- *As a first time participant, I thought this was an amazing event*

Did anything disappoint you? Was anything missing?

- *Not disappointing exactly, but I wish the two panel moderators had been just a bit more challenging with follow-up questions.*
- *Would like to have heard more about specifics of (current) coordination*
- *I might have also liked the moderators to do a small summary, but Reagan sort of did that (and was more challenging) anyway.*
- *I wonder what would have happened if we had had two questioners? I know the questions were pre-screened, but it still left the moderator at a disadvantage to read and understand the gist of each question. If we had two questioners, they could trade off and likely be more on top of things.*
- *I was disappointed in the open microphones. I thought we had dropped them to cut down on superfluous comments containing no questions.*
- *It seemed just a bit off base to ask the afternoon panel specifically about the Poudre as none of them had any tangible experience with the issues/data/etc.*
- *Keynote speaker was quite bright, and mentioned several key concepts, but didn't seem to hit any Poudre-relevant nail on the head as far as I was concerned.*
- *I know it can be wise to acknowledge elected legislators or officials (or their aides), but if they didn't really show up, is that still appropriate? (Again, I need to see who really came.)*
- *The AG Forum was long, I think it could have been cut in ½ and another session added and it would have been more valuable*
- *Need to give some thought to inviting quality speakers who can integrate over re topical areas. Then have an ombudsman summarize issues and solutions*
- *Use the forum to get more education out, a sheet of relevant web sites, a historian to provide a backdrop for the issue*
- *Morning panel went way too long.*
- *I think the 1st panel needed to be more diverse- maybe a city utility*
- *I would love to see what groups are forming and actually acting to evoke change like the Poudre Valley Community Farms Coop.*
- *The morning panel was interesting but could have benefitted from a counterpoint or two. It felt like a very one sided discussion*
- *P.A. system needs improving, heavy metals need more attention since they are cumulative in the soil, cadmium chromium arsenic*
- *Panel discussions*
- *Conspicuously absent was any real discussion devoted to the Poudre's headwaters region- the forests of northern Colorado. Also, a somewhat dry format. Maybe try breakout groups, more visuals/interaction, etc.*
- *More water law discussion, what's allowed within current structure, what shouldn't change, what can or should change to accommodate agreements and projects*
- *1st panel was somewhat unfocused and did not provide as much information as I hoped. Not well prepared to present*
- *The afternoon program stuck me that it was a lesson on how to steal water rights*
- *Politicians- not disappointed but it would be nice to hear from those that are such a big part of legislation- Gov. Hickenlooper etc.*
- *Yep. First panel was several folks with shared point for like 90 minutes. Not good. Different POV on panel would*

have made for more rigorous ideas and less of a feeling of having an agreeing audience (which they did not have BTW), as well as more ideas

- *It would have been nice to have a walkway to the attendee mics. It was a little intimidating to walk in the middle of multiple tables.*
- *No disappointments-5*
- *The morning panel did seem a little long. My mind started wandering.*
- *The panel discussion was too long. Though interesting, they really dragged on. It would have been much better to have shortened panel discussions, with smaller panels with more narrowly focused topics*
- *The two panels dragged out a bit, could have shortened both by 30 minutes. Not enough involvement/participation of 200+ people in audience*
- *Might have been interesting to discuss or include municipal/industrial consumption with as specifically as it relate to ag (brewing industry, etc.)*
- *Just wondering what next steps are for liaising with all users- do I now wait for another year to have industry, residents all in the same room?*
- *1st panel difficulty getting a microphone question. Missing mayors or city council from cities weld and Larimer co commissioners, weld legislators*
- *Sessions were about ½ hour too long*
- *The content was a little thin and panels dragged on too long. Much discussion focused on topics outside to Poudre*
- *No dairy exhibit this year-missed it*
- *North fork of Poudre not recognized*
- *Morning snacks*
- *The two panel discussions were too long. It was difficult to stay focused*
- *It would be great to talk about urban water conservation as well-how to keep bringing per capita water use down*
- *Maybe just a little more diversity on it*
- *Not enough time to interact with booths*
- *It would be helpful to hear about Poudre River project status*
- *I would like more emphasis on long term issues and solutions, not just “tell your story and experience”*
- *I would like to have heard more about the push pull between agriculture, municipalities, and oil/gas uses of water. Particularly the economics and relationship to water law*
- *Panels were too long. Too much sitting!*
- *It would have been beneficial to hear from environmental organizations, like Save the Poudre, and maybe more from municipalities*
- *The morning panel on agriculture was relatively boring- did not get into some of the core issues with agriculture. Have some loaded questions prepared beforehand*
- *Panels were a bit on the long side-perhaps shorten with more breaks would be better*
- *Panels ran a little long-especially as questions dribbled in*
- *Not enough connection between ag and environment*
- *Breaks and socializing during breaks*

Please share your ideas for improvement and/or your ideas for next year’s Poudre River Forum, including potential presenters and topics.

- *Perhaps it was only me but when I picked up my badge, I was not given a program or raffle ticket (I did ‘inherit’ a raffle ticket though ☺).*
- *It was nice to have WiFi, but I did see a lot of people on their phones. I suppose that would be the case anyway, but I wonder if we need to mention the WiFi option.*
- *Shall we save some of the leftover programs to send to sponsors who did not attend, and to prospective sponsors next year?*
- *I have no real clue on the misbehaving computer, but as a rule, it may be good to temporarily a) disconnect from any internet connection, and b) disable virus checking. Each of those things can lead to sluggishness under many circumstances.*
- *May be a good idea to introduce the roundtable and the role it plays with the cure B and statewide water management. More tangible projects, Thornton, municipal land use patten and plans that do or don’t address H2O, status of Halligan-Seaman, and NISP*
- *Water re-use; need a strong speaker on Re issues-then have a panel respond. Groundwater/surface water*

interaction. Economic value of in-stream flows; treatment wetlands to maintain H2O quality?

- *Have a session on teaching about sustainability*
- *I'm not sure what is needed to put more excitement into the panel sessions - something was needed. I often think there needs to be a historical review of water development at the beginning of these sessions. The new movie did a good job but it was quick. I think it would be worth 15-20 minutes to discuss water law and various compacts i.e. Greg Hobbs' keynote summarized.*
- *Educating conference goes as to issues facing the River and its future. Have CPRW, WRV, to talk about projects and observations on the river itself. Offer opportunities to sign up.*
- *Shorter presentations- it's a long time to sit. Maybe opportunities to do breakout discussions rather than just listening.*
- *Find research on most crops with more calories produced per unit of water*
- *Panels can be great but can also get repetitive and a little boring. Perhaps have one panel only and 2 or so seminar style presentation to mix it up a bit. Panels great for points of view, presentations often more informative.*
- *An in depth discussion about how lands and forests can best be managed upstream to ensure forest health, reduce wildfire risk and prevent major post fire impacts to the Poudre own the road.*
- *Topics: watershed protection, how to expand current uses to include ecosystem long term, representative panel of typical Poudre River uses: ag, industry, municipal.*
- *Shorter program, better food, smaller fee*
- *More panels and shorter length of each panel. A brief 101 H2O speech to attract the general public. More explanation of what the h2o projects are-what they will do and why there is so much controversy about them.*
- *Conservation methods, water law, mitigation efforts, city of Fort Collin Poudre River evaluation system*
- *I did like last year's format with some presentations. Panels can be wide-ranging and not organized. This is okay, but a whole day of panels could be a lot to absorb.*
- *Water and the future of energy development*
- *Urban landscaping/irrigation could have a role in this type of forum yearly (probably a small role, but there are lots of urbanites)*
- *Include individuals from city utilities especially storm water and or floodplain. I also like the idea of bringing in city council members, other representative up to speed on water.*
- *Include more (opposing) viewpoints in panels, shorten panel duration*
- *Specific topics related to the recreation industry and the impact of the healthy river or lack thereof on tourism dollars*
- *Have more variety of panels, or break up things with more speakers*
- *Site references prior to presentations (e.g. River- a day for the Poudre...) (?) or (?) provide on flash drive for profit next forum*
- *Continue elevating with discussion past water 101*
- *Conversation about water issues and how we present them to our youth*
- *Would like to see a panel with different POV- 2 of farmers from today, someone from city of chapter of commerce from Greeley or FoCo (seem very pro growth), someone from a brewery (eg Katie Wallace of NBB), someone from a microchip producer, the mountain whitewater guy, and or the owner of St. Pete's Fly Shop (Grant Horex) or someone from Trout Unlimited, etc.*
- *Add some discussion about other uses in the river corridor- mainly recreation, rafting, fishing, trail use*
- *Another ag panel and maybe different ag perspectives*
- *More focused presentation on activities and issues in the Poudre Basin storage, agricultural trends, water administrative trends, changes in the basin, the trend of municipal/ownership of historic*
- *How previous infrastructures that influence the Poudre River are being maintained and managed*
- *No more "my way or no way" panelists (Trout)*
- *Using groundwater storage as potential reservoirs to reduce evaporation of above ground storage*
- *Perhaps a few more keynote speakers to help break up the day*
- *The panels could be shorter and have some short individual presentations added in to cover some more topics*
- *The panels were great, but a couple of breaks in the middle could be helpful. The length of discussion, in-depth and knowledgeable is great, I just wished I could take a bathroom break without missing part of the conversation*
- *Youth engagement, discussion/round table to re effective public education*
- *Apply information, experience, and thoughts of 2nd panel to Poudre storage projects*

- *Water and the issues we talked about today involve many other topics. If this forum were to expand into a 2 day event, there would be more room for collaboration. Issues such as sustainable farming on a small scale, soil depletion, and yes, animal ag. I think more variety on the panel will allow for differing points of view and collaboration. The answer can't just be economics when talking about real problems (animal ag is #1 in terms of water usage). Continuing on this path at the expense of future generations with depleted soil and water supply is not sustainable. I hope you have more environmental advocates on the panel.*
- *More education presence on panels instead of just at booths*
- *Sessions were lengthy and thought there could have been another session added and not lost much in content from other sessions*
- *I wonder if time could be made for breakout groups where individuals could interact with each other and learn from each other*
- *I would like to see a panel that addresses the breadth and diversity of perspectives and goals that encompass the environmental interest*
- *Make the 2 panel sessions a little bit shorter or provide a quick break in-between*
- *Split up morning and afternoon panel into two sessions. Have really pressing questions prepared to ask panel. Have a poll everywhere set of audience survey participation. Long booth/lunch time- maybe 30 minutes longer or have both time between*
- *Conservation and open space presentation. Flood plain management. Managing for recreation.*
- *Might consider 3 forums/panels-have greater participating actions- e.g., topic for small group discussions*
- *Sub group time. Discussion, e.g., ENV. EDU, endangered species interest, research interests*
- *Shorter panel time*
- *Brief info on people in the Poudre Runs Through It and their interest*
- *The last panel got very repetitive- get along. They lacked substance for process*

Anyone you recommend we contact to consider being a sponsor next year?

- *I'd like to see more environmental organizations, but am unsure who to suggest.*
- *CSU*
- *The Colorado State Forest Service, US Forest Service, BLM and National Park service (Rocky Mtn. Nat'l Park)*
- *Dale Trowbridge*
- *Fort Collins Food Coop, and Trees, Water, People*
- *In regard to ag: National Young Farmers Coalition, RMFU*
- *National Fish and Wildlife Foundation-Rocky Mountain Region*
- *City of Fort Collins Utilities*
- *Pat Styler, New Belgium and other craft breweries. Budweiser and Coors. Rocky Mountain Farmers Union RMFU*
- *More ag users*
- *Clear Water Solutions-Windsor*
- *Where are the other brewers? Thanks to Odell*
- *League of Women Voters-Larimer County*

Other comments:

- *Would there be any value in keeping, and posting, all the audience-generated panel questions?*
- *Did we a) meet the expectations and needs of the attendees, b) the needs and expectations of our sponsors, and c) truly advance the cause of a healthier working river? How and how not?*
- *Luke Runyon was great, keep the AG forum flourishing and Reagan's participating was a boost. Loved Kevin Duggan's facilitation. Good idea to use professionals.*
- *Bring in some empathetic speakers-let the panelists respond.*
- *Thanks for organizing this! -5*
- *Dale Trowbridge is very good. Heck of a manager. How about some discussion about tax credits for good water management conservation?*
- *Left forum optimistic about being able to find common ground and keeping farmers on their mind. NoCo and improving ecological health of the Poudre*

- *A student panel from CSU might be interesting. We talk about education and getting the next generation involved, let's do it! A student panel-maybe good students- to hear what they are working on and ideas they have for our water future.*
- *Thank you for the scholarship! -3*
- *In the forum setting, some points got covered more than once and some answers given didn't have much substance (maybe vague is another description) I'm not sure how to fix that, but it's an observation*
- *Great resources with the vendors. I appreciate everyone's efforts for the forum, as well as, their work on the water issues*
- *Thank you for all the efforts that went into today's conversations and presentations*
- *Much better than last year*
- *So glad this is continuing! Really like this forum.*
- *Thanks for all the work!*
- *Thank you! Networking!*
- *Good reminder of the legacy today and the need to carry forward to the next generation.*
- *I forgot to mention this last year- possible to stage the band nearer the food & bev? People gather at food and band is isolated from appreciative audience! Thanks for a great, informative day!*
- *Due to a number of physical issues, I was out of circulation most of last year. Although this was my 3rd year here, it was kind of like my debutante coming out.*
- *Senior fee would have been good. Cory Gardner, former congressman and now US Senator when on Sen. Wayne Allard's staff handled water issues. Good keynote speaker for 2017? Idea for improvement. Questions, alternate between cards and microphone. 2-sided evaluations with more space for wordy people like me who take evaluations seriously. Irv Goldaberls, Center for Conflict management "win/win" negotiations which he developed from his experience with hostage release negotiations. Include an educator as a participant on the PRTI study work group and on panels. Wayne Sunberg, his suggestion and for Wayne as keynote speaker on history and water. Overall rating 9 with 10 being high.*
- *Keep it up and see if you can get more meaty topics and presentations*
- *An amazing time with wonderful opportunities for networking.*
- *Video screens need to be higher so can see from back tables*
- *Perhaps have this in Greeley next year to encourage the local farmers to join (the small operations not just the big guys)*
- *Great day and networking opportunity! Very nice to have so many people that care about water together!*
- *Fantastic!*
- *Please have an attendance list at meeting. Put some breaks in the program – even if you have to go longer in the day*
- *Overall, this was very informative and interesting day. It was refreshing to hear the panelists talk about many of the things we have discussed in the CLTL graduate program.*
- *You did a great job but lets keep making this basin forum special. How can we help solutions be envisioned and born at the forum? Maybe make specific issues more of a public debate to discuss positions and interests in a public forum instead of a panel format. See if stakeholders are willing to debate their positions in a public forum and let audience weigh on those positions! NISP. Development points on agriculture (former desire to see farms @ restaurant and growth/water supplies). Shifts in water projects (CBT are no longer available in 5 years. NISP will not be available until at least 10 years. So how do we accommodate the new growth w/o seriously impacting agriculture?) Non-point SOWA pollution vs. regulated point sources (municipal)*
- *My first program like this. Well organized. Thank you to sponsors and members who made all arrangements*
- *Is there a directory of registrants? Contact info for further discussion?*